Exhibit 1



Robert Landy <rlandy@fordobrien.com>

Microbot v. Alliance - Discovery/Proposed Protective Order on Consent

1 message

Robert Landy <rlandy@fordobrien.com>

Wed, Sep 18, 2019 at 10:56 AM

To: David Lopez <DavidLopezEsq@aol.com>, Miriam Tauber <miriamtauberlaw@gmail.com> Cc: Adam Ford <aford@fordobrien.com>

David and Miriam,

As I agreed during the call last week, we have now collected the account agreement and statements that indicate the owner and terms/account type associated with the account at Alliance in which the MBOT stock at issue in this matter was traded.

However, this material contains confidential financial and personal information, and we do not yet have any protective order in place in this action. As such, I have put together a standard proposed protective order for submission to Judge Daniels.

Please let me know if you have any comments as to the attached form. If you do not, and agree to its entry, please sign it and return a PDF to me for filing. We will be in a position to make production shortly after entry of the order.

Regards,

Robert S. Landy Partner

Ford O'Brien LLP

575 Fifth Avenue 17th Floor New York, N. Y. 10017 (212) 858-0040 (main) (212) 256-1047 (direct) (646) 650-2219 (facsimile) www.fordobrien.com

This transmission may be a confidential attorney-client communication or may otherwise be privileged or confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read, copy, or re-transmit this communication. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by replying to the sender of this message, and delete this message (and your reply) and any attachments. Thank you in advance for your cooperation and assistance.

Microbot v. Alliance - Proposed Protective Order.docx 37K

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MICROBOT MEDICAL INC.

v.

Civil Action No.:19-CV-3782 (GBD)

ECF Case

Plaintiff,

ALLIANCE INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT LTD.,

Defendant.

[PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER BY CONSENT

WHEREAS, Plaintiff Microbot Medical Inc. and ("Plaintiff"), and Defendant Alliance Investment Management Ltd. ("Defendant") (collectively, the "Parties") have each been requested or will be requested to respond to discovery requests; and

WHEREAS, it appearing that discovery in the above-captioned action (the "Litigation," which for purposes of this Protective Order by Consent (the "Order") includes any appeals) is likely to involve the disclosure of confidential, proprietary and/or trade secret information, it is ORDERED as follows:

- 1. This Order governs the use and handling of documents, things, electronic information in any form (including embedded data and metadata), testimony, interrogatory responses, and other information, including all copies, excerpts, and summaries thereof (collectively, the "Material") produced or given by any defendant, plaintiff, or other individual or entity in this Litigation.
- 2. Any Party to this Litigation and any third party covered by this Order shall have the right to designate as "Confidential" and subject to this Order, any Material: (a) that contains trade secrets, codes, source codes, software programs, competitively sensitive technical,

marketing, financial, sales or other confidential business information, or (b) that contains private or confidential personal information, including information reasonably believed to be confidential, proprietary and/or a trade secret; or (c) that contains information received in confidence from third parties, or (d) which the producing party otherwise believes in good faith to be entitled to protection under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this Order. Any party to this Litigation or any third party covered by this Order, who produces or discloses any confidential material, including any material believed to be confidential, including without limitation any information, document, thing, interrogatory answer, admission, pleading, or testimony, shall mark the same with the legend: "CONFIDENTIAL" or "CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO DISCOVERY CONFIDENTIALITY ORDER" (hereinafter "Confidential"). In the event that a party seeks to designate documents or things as "Confidential", they shall note that in the covering transmittal of such information.

3. All "Confidential" Material shall be used by the receiving party solely for purposes of the prosecution or defense of this Litigation, shall not be used by the receiving party for any business, commercial, competitive, personal or other purpose, and shall not be disclosed by the receiving party to anyone other than those set forth in Paragraph 4, unless and until the restrictions herein are removed either by written agreement of counsel for the parties, or by Order of the Court. It is, however, understood that counsel for a party may give advice and opinions to his or her client solely relating to the Litigation based on his or her evaluation of "Confidential" material, provided that such advice and opinions shall not reveal the content of such "Confidential" material except by prior written agreement of counsel for the parties, or by Order of the Court.

- 4. "Confidential" Material and the contents of "Confidential" Material may be disclosed only to the following individuals under the following conditions:
 - Outside counsel and staff (herein defined as any attorney at the Parties' outside law firms) and relevant in-house counsel for the parties;
 - b. Outside experts or consultants retained by outside counsel for purposes of this Litigation, provided that each such person acknowledges in writing that he or she has read this Order and agrees to be bound by it by signing the acknowledgment attached hereto as *Exhibit A*;
 - Secretarial, paralegal, clerical, duplicating and data processing personnel of the foregoing in (a) and/or (b);
 - d. The Court and court personnel;
 - e. Any deponent or witness may be shown or examined on any information, document or thing designated "Confidential" if it appears that the witness authored or received a copy of it, was involved in the subject matter described therein or is employed by the party who produced the information, document or thing, or if the producing party consents to such disclosure;
 - f. Vendors retained by or for the parties to assist in preparing for pretrial discovery, trial and/or hearings including, but not limited to, court reporters, litigation support personnel, jury consultants, individuals to prepare demonstrative and audiovisual aids for use in the courtroom or in depositions or mock jury sessions, as well as their staff, stenographic, and clerical employees whose duties and responsibilities require access to such materials; and

- g. The Parties. In the case of parties that are corporations or other business entities, "party" shall mean executives and employees who are required to participate in decisions with reference to this lawsuit or otherwise required to provide testimony.
- 5. "Confidential" Material shall be used only by individuals permitted access to it under Paragraph 4. "Confidential" Material, copies thereof, and the information contained therein, shall not be disclosed in any manner to any other individual, until and unless (a) outside counsel for the party asserting confidentiality waives the claim of confidentiality, or (b) the Court orders such disclosure.
- 6. With respect to any depositions that involve a disclosure of "Confidential" material of a party to this Litigation, such party shall have until three (3) business days after receipt of a volume of deposition transcript within which to inform all other parties that portions of the transcript are to be designated "Confidential", which period may be extended by agreement of the parties. No such deposition transcript shall be disclosed to any individual other than the individuals described in Paragraph 5(a), (b), (c), (d) and (f) above and the deponent during these three (3) business days, and no individual attending such a deposition shall disclose the contents of the deposition to any individual other than those described in Paragraph 5(a), (b), (c), (d) and (f) above during said three (3) business days. Upon being informed that certain portions of a deposition are to be designated as "Confidential", all parties shall immediately cause each copy of the transcript in its custody or control to be appropriately marked and limit disclosure of that transcript in accordance with Paragraph 4.

- 7. If counsel for a party receiving documents or information designated as "Confidential" hereunder objects to such designation of any or all of such items, the following procedure shall apply:
 - (a) Counsel for the objecting party shall serve on the designating party or third party a written objection to such designation, which shall describe with particularity the documents or information in question and shall state the grounds for objection. The objecting and producing party shall confer in good faith to resolve any such dispute. If the parties are unable to resolve the dispute, the objecting party may apply to Magistrate Judge Moses for a ruling that the Material is not appropriately designated, giving notice to the designating party.
 - (b) If a dispute as to a "Confidential" designation of a document or item of information cannot be resolved by agreement, the proponent of the designation being challenged shall carry the burden of demonstrating the basis for such designation. A challenge to the designation shall follow the procedures set forth in the applicable Local, Federal and Judge's Individual Rules. The document or information that is the subject of the filing shall be treated as originally designated pending resolution of the dispute, including filing the documents or information under seal, as appropriate.
- 8. No party shall file "Confidential" documents or things on the Court Docket unless ordered to do so by the Court or after complying with the following procedure. Any party intending to file material marked "Confidential" by another party shall inform the producing party of the same three (3) business days before filing in order to permit the producing party with the opportunity to file a motion to seal. In the alternative, the party

seeking to use material marked "Confidential" by another party may file its submission *in* camera while simultaneously serving such papers upon the other parties. In such case, the producing party shall have five (5) business days to file a motion to seal a portion or the entirety of the submission. After the expiration of the five-day period if there is no motion to seal, or the disposition of a motion to seal, the filing party shall file any non-sealed material on the Court's ECF system.

- 9. If the need arises during trial or at any hearing before the Court for any party to disclose "Confidential" material, it may do so only after giving notice to the producing party and as directed by the Court. Listing any documents marked "Confidential" on a pretrial list of exhibits shall constitute sufficient notice under this paragraph.
- 10. To the extent consistent with applicable law, the inadvertent, unintentional or mistaken disclosure of "Confidential" material that should have been designated as such, regardless of whether the information, document or thing was so designated at the time of disclosure, shall not be deemed a waiver in whole or in part of a party's claim of confidentiality, either as to the specific information, document or thing disclosed or as to any other material or information concerning the same or related subject matter. Such inadvertent, unintentional or mistaken disclosure may be rectified by notifying, in writing, counsel for all parties to whom the "Confidential" material was disclosed that the material should have been designated "Confidential" within a reasonable time after such inadvertent or unintentional disclosure. Such notice shall constitute a designation of the information, document or thing as "Confidential" and/or under this Order.
- 11. When the inadvertent, unintentional or mistaken disclosure of any Material protected by privilege or work-product immunity or other applicable privilege or protection is

discovered by the producing party and brought to the attention of the receiving party, the receiving party shall return the Material to the producing party or destroy or delete the Material, on the request of the producing party. The receiving party shall take all reasonable steps to delete or otherwise permanently remove the Materials from any systems used to house documents, including document review databases, e-rooms, or any other locations that store the document. The receiving party may make no use of the inadvertently disclosed Material in this Litigation or any other matter, or disclose the Material's contents to anyone who was not already aware of its contents. Such inadvertent, unintentional or mistaken disclosure of such Material protected by privilege or work-product protection or other applicable privilege or protection shall not by itself constitute a waiver by the producing party of any claims of privilege, work product protection or other protection. However, nothing herein restricts the right of the receiving party to challenge the producing party's claim of privilege if appropriate within a reasonable time after receiving notice of the inadvertent, unintentional or mistaken disclosure. This Order shall be interpreted to provide the maximum protection allowed by Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d).

- 12. No information that is in the public domain or which is already known by the receiving party through proper means or which is or becomes available to a party from a source other than the party asserting confidentiality, rightfully in possession of such information on a non-confidential basis, shall be deemed or considered to be "Confidential" material under this Order.
- 13. This Order shall not deprive any party of its right to object to discovery by any other party or on any otherwise permitted ground. This Order is being entered without

prejudice to the right of any party to move the Court for modification or for relief from any of its terms.

- 14. This Order shall survive the termination of this Litigation and shall remain in full force and effect unless and until modified by an Order of this Court or by the written stipulation of the parties filed with the Court. The Court expressly retains jurisdiction of this Litigation for enforcement of the provisions of this Order following the final resolution of this Litigation.
- termination of this Litigation (including appeals), each party or other individual subject to the terms hereof shall use reasonable efforts to either return to the originating source all originals and unmarked copies of documents and things containing "Confidential" material or to destroy such "Confidential" material and certify that fact; provided, however, that counsel may retain complete copies of all deposition and trial transcripts and pleadings including any exhibits attached thereto, correspondence, expert reports and attorney work product that contain or refer to "Confidential" material for archival purposes, subject to the provisions of this Order which shall survive the final disposition of this Litigation. To the extent a party requests the return of "Confidential" material from the Court after the final conclusion of the Litigation, including the exhaustion of all appeals therefrom and all related proceedings, the party shall file a motion seeking such relief. Nothing herein shall be interpreted in a manner that would violate any applicable canons of ethics or rules of professional responsibility.
- 16. Nothing in this Order shall affect a party's use or disclosure of its own documents in any way. The use by a party of its own "Confidential" documents as part of the

confidential pr	roceedings among the parties or party depos	itions does not alter the
confidentiality	of the documents in question with respect t	o third parties.
SO ORDEREI	D:	
Dated:	New York, New York September , 2019	
	Honorable George B. Daniels, U.S.D.J.	_
APPROVED A	AS TO FORM AND ENTRY:	
LAW OFFICES OF DAVID LOPEZ David Lopez P.O. Box 323, 171 Edge of Woods. Rd. Southampton, NY 11969 (631) 287-5520 DavidLopezEsq@aol.com		FORD O'BRIEN LLP Adam C. Ford Robert S. Landy 575 Fifth Avenue, 17th Floor (212) 858-0040 rlandy@fordobrien.com
and		
MIRIAM TAUBER LAW PLLC Miriam Tauber 885 Park Ave. 2A New York, NY 10075 (323) 790-4881 MiriamTauberLaw@gmail.com		
Attorneys for 1	Plaintiff Microbot Medical Inc.	Attorneys for Defendant Alliance Investment Management Ltd.
By:		By:

EXHIBIT A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MICROBOT MEDICAL INC.		Civil Action No.:19-CV-3782 (GBD)	
v.	Plaintiff,	ECF Case	
ALLIANCE INVEST	ΓΜΕΝΤ MANAGEMENT LTD.,		
	Defendant.		
I,	, hereby certify (i) m	ny understanding that Material is being	
provided to me pursua	ant to the terms and restrictions of th	e Protective Order by Consent (the	
"Confidentiality Orde	er") entered by the United States Di	istrict Court for the Southern District of	
New York and (ii) that	at I have read the Confidentiality O	order. I understand the terms of the	
Confidentiality Order	, I agree to be fully bound by the C	Confidentiality Order, and I hereby	
submit to the jurisdict	tion of the United States District Co	ourt for the Southern District of New	
York for purposes of e	enforcement of the Confidentiality C	Order.	
D. I. ETC			
DATE:	SIGNATURE:		